1	Charles A. Bonner, Esq. (SBN: 85413)		
2	A. Cabral Bonner, Esq. (SBN: 247528)		
_	LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES A. BONNER		
3	1913 Bridgeway		
4	Sausalito, CA 94965		
5	Telephone: (415) 331-3070 Facsimile: (415) 331-2738		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	MELVÍN ATKINS		
	Kimberly E. Colwell, Esq. (SBN: 127604)		
8	kcolwell@meyersnave.com Tricia L. Hynes, Esq. (SBN: 212550)		
9	1 thrmag@mayyaranaya aam		
10	555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500		
	Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 808-2000		
11	Facsimile: (510) 444-1108		
12	Attorneys for Defendants		
13	CITY OF PETALUMA and OFFICER PAUL ACCORNERO		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	MELVIN ATKINS,	Case No: C07-05524 SI	
18	Plaintiff,		
19	v.	JOINT UPDATED CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
20	CITY OF BETALLDAA BETALLDAA BOLICE		
	CITY OF PETALUMA; PETALUMA POLICE DEPARTMENT; OFFICER PAUL	DATE: May 14, 2008	
21	ACCORNERO; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	TIME: 2:30 a.m. DEPT: Courtroom 10, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
22	Defendants.	JUDGE: Hon. Susan Illston	
23	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: September 14, 2007	
24		Trial Date: February 9, 2009	
25			
26			
27			
28			

///

The parties to the above-entitled case jointly submit their Updated Case Management Statement and request that the Court adopt this statement in issuing its Case Management Order. The parties have reached a tentative settlement in this case, contingent upon City Council approval. This matter will be heard by the Council on June 2, 2008. The parties request that this case be put over for 60 days to allow time for Council approval and dismissal of this matter.

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction & Service</u>. This is a civil rights case, under 42 USC 1983, seeking damages, for violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights, thus jurisdiction is proper based upon 28 USC §1441(b) based upon federal question. All parties have been served.
- 2. Facts:

## A. <u>Plaintiff's Facts</u>:

On December 19, 2006, at approximately 6:25 a.m., Plaintiff MELVIN ATKINS (hereinafter 'MR. ATKINS') was riding a bicycle down the street across from the local Sheriff Department station in Marin City. As MR. ATKINS rode past, a Petaluma Police Department officer (DEFENDANT ACCONERO) was taking his K-9 attack dog, a Belgian Malinois named Roy, from the back of a patrol car. The dog saw MR. ATKINS and bolted towards him as if to attack. Startled, knowing that police K-9s are highly trained attack dogs, MR. ATKINS hit his brakes hard, causing him to fly forward over the handle bars and into the air.

As the dog approached, MR. ATKINS heard Defendant ACCORNERO give the dog a "NO!" command. MR. ATKINS hit the ground hard with a thud. Dazed, MR. ATKINS could feel the dog biting him in several different spots on his body. After the attack, MR. ATKINS could feel DEFENDANT ACCORNERO trying to place himself between MR. ATKINS and the dog, while continuing to give the dog the "NO!" command. As MR. ATKINS lay on the ground, he could see DEFENDANT ACCORNERO physically carrying the dog away in his arms and continuing to give the "NO!" command as the dog continued to bark

After the attack, MR. ATKINS went to the emergency room at Marin General Hospital. Subsequently he made several visits to the Marin City Clinic and has received treatment from a therapist regarding the incident.

## B. <u>Defendants' Facts</u>:

On December 19, 2006, at 6:00 a.m., Officer Paul Accornero was with his K-9 dog, Roy, when Mr. Atkins came riding down the middle of the street on a bike. Officer Accornero heard Mr. Atkins jam on his breaks and saw Mr. Atkins fall. Roy went over to Mr. Atkins, who was wearing layers of puffy jackets like the K-9 trainers wear, and Roy put his jaw around Mr. Atkins' elbow. Officer Accornero immediately gained control of the dog. Roy did not break through Mr. Atkins' skin at all and Mr. Atkins admitted he was fine, but as he was about to leave, an individual came over to the two men and repeatedly told Mr. Atkins that he should sue the City. Subsequently, Mr. Atkins did.

3. <u>Legal Issues</u>:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- a. Whether Mr. Atkins was injured?
- b. Whether any of Mr. Atkins' rights were violated?
- c. Whether Officer Accornero and the City are entitled to qualified immunity?
- 4. <u>Motions</u>: Defendants may bring a summary judgment motion based upon qualified immunity.
- 5. <u>Amendment of Pleadings</u>: N/A.
- 6. <u>Evidence Preservation</u>: Defendants have notified all relevant departments to maintain and preserve anything related to this Action.
  - 7. Disclosures: The parties will exchange their Disclosures prior to this Conference.
- 8. <u>Discovery</u>: The parties have agreed to hold off on depositions and exchanging written discovery until after attending an early settlement conference, provided the parties make their Rule 26 disclosures.
- 9. Class Actions: N/A.
- 10. <u>Related Cases</u>: N/A.
- 11. <u>Relief</u>: Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer physical and emotional injuries as a result of the attack. Plaintiff seeks to recover his out-of-pocket economic losses for medical and mental health expenses and other economic losses. Additionally, plaintiff seeks recovery for his non-economic damages.

- 12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u>: The parties settled this case pending City Council Approval on May 2, 2008 before Magistrate Judge Zimmerman.
- 13. <u>Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes</u>: Defendants previously declined to proceed before a Magistrate Judge.
- 14. Other References: N/A.
- 6 | 15. Narrowing of Issues: Unknown at this time.
  - 16. Expedited Schedule: N/A.
  - 17. Scheduling: Each party may take all depositions agreed to by code or as agreed to between parties; each Party shall be entitled to propound written discovery; each party may propound unlimited requests for production of documents or things; each party may propound unlimited request for admissions; fact discovery cutoff on **September 12**, **2008**; expert disclosures and reports by **October 3**, **2008**; expert rebuttal reports **October 17**, **2008**; expert dispositions to be completed by **October 30**, **2008**; last day of hearing on motions **November 7**, **2008**; the parties agree to meet and confer concerning any modifications to this plan.
  - 18. <u>Trial</u>: Plaintiff requests jury trial; defendants reserve. Estimated length of trial is three days. Trial set for: **February 9, 2009**.; Pre-trial conference set for: **January 26, 2009**; Mandatory pre-trial settlement conference set for: **February 2, 2009**.
  - 19. <u>Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons</u>: The parties will separately file their "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons." Defendants are presently unaware of any other interested parties.

21 | | ///

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 | ///

23 | | ///

24 | | ///

25 |

///

26 | ///

27 | | ///

28 | | ///

1	20. Any Other Matters: N/A.	
2	Dated: May 7, 2008	LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES A. BONNER
3		
4		By: /s/
5		By: /s/ Cabral Bonner Attorneys for Plaintiff
6		MELVIN ATKINS
7	Dated: May 7, 2008	MEVEDS NAVE DIDACV SHAVED & WHISON
8	Dated: May 7, 2008	MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON
9		
10		By: /s/ Tricia L. Hynes
1		Tricia L. Hynes Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF PETALUMA and
12		OFFICER PAUL ACCORNERO
13	1093835.1	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
.0		
	1	A